

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/717,657 11/21/2003		Hiroshi Tsuda	Q77860	9047		
23373	7590	12/13/2004		EXAMINER		
SUGHRUE			NGUYEN, TU T			
SUITE 800	SYLVAN.	IA AVENUE, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
WASHING	TON, DC	20037	2877			
				DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			,657	TSUDA, HIROSH	łI				
			ner	Art Unit					
		Tu T. N	<u> </u>	2877					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communic or Reply	cation appears on	the cover sheet v	with the correspondence ac	ddress				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THIS COMMUNION IN THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY	CATION. f 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no inication. days, a reply within the substraction will apply and ill, by statute, cause the	event, however, may a statutory minimum of th d will expire SIX (6) MC application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	f on							
2a)	This action is FINAL . 2	b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposit	ion of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the app 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-6,8 and 9 is/are rejected. Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict	e withdrawn from							
Applicat	ion Papers								
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 November</u> Applicant may not request that any object Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a)⊠ tion to the drawing(s the correction is req	s) be held in abeya uired if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ag(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d).				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action	locuments have b locuments have b if the priority docu nal Bureau (PCT F	een received. een received in ments have bee Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this Nationa	I Stage				
Attachmen	rt(c)								
_	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F er No(s)/Mail Date <u>05/13/2004</u> .		Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application (PT 	·O-152)				

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2,5-6,8-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peupelmann (DE 199 13 800) in view of Johnson et al (6,674,928).

With respect to claims 1,8, Peupelmann discloses an optical fiber strain sensor device 1 (fig 1). The device comprises: an FBG sensor 6 (fig 1) including an optical fiber 7 (fig 1) having an FBG 6 (fig 1) written therein and mounted on an object to be measured (abstract or paragraph 57, the system for monitoring of building or bridges), a broadband light source 3 (fig 1) for directing a broadband light ray to the FBG sensor, and a filter 11 (fig 1) that reflects or transmits a light ray reflected from the FBG sensor, detector 15 or 16 (fig 1).

Peupelmann does not explicitly disclose detecting a strain by detecting a change in a center wavelength of the light ray reflected from the FBG sensor. Johnson discloses a sensing device. The device comprises a sensor for sensing strain by detecting the wavelength shift (column 3, lines 25-30). It would have been obvious to modify Peupelmann with Johnson method to facilitate the sensing.

With respect to claims 2,9, refer to discussion in claim 1 above for the system.

Further, Peupelmann discloses detecting a frequency amplitude transformation (column 4) and a filter 8 (fig 1) that both transmits and reflects a light ray 12-14 (fig 1).

Peupelmann does not disclose detecting an amplitude change by inverting the phase of the signal. However, it would have been obvious to modify Peupelmann' system with different method to use the system in different environments.

With respect to claims 5,6, the claimed FBG filter or dielectric multi-layer filter would have been known. It would have been obvious to modify Peupelmann with different type of filters for different using purposes.

Claims 3-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Peupelmann (DE 199 13 800) in view of Johnson et al (6,674,928) and Bennion et al (6,018,160).

With respect to claim 3, Peupelmann does not disclose an optical circulator.

Bennion discloses using an optical circulator 2 (fig 1) for reflecting light. It would have been obvious to modify Peupelmann with Bennion's optical circulator to separate the reflected light more efficient.

With respect to claim 4, it would have been obvious to modify Peupelmann with a plurality of optical circulators to enhance the result.

Allowable Subject Matter

Page 4

Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: Prior arts of record do not disclose means for detecting includes first and second photoelectric conversion devices for receiving, respectively, light rays reflected from and transmitted through said filter, and signal processing apparatus for inverting the phase of an output of a selected one of said photoelectric conversion devices, combining the inverted and non-inverted outputs, and measuring at least one characteristic of the combined outputs in combination with all the limitations in the base claim.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tu T. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2424. The examiner can normally be reached on T-F 7:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory Toatley Jr. can be reached on (571) 272-2800 Ext. 77. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2877

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Tu T. Nguyen Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2877

12/10/2004